



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,326	01/25/2006	Sean Geoffrey Maddox	CPG0188DB	1635
38235 7590 03/23/2009 MEADWESTVACO CORPORATION ATTN: IP LEGAL DEPARTMENT 1021 Main Campus Drive Raleigh, NC 27606				
EXAMINER BOSWELL, CHRISTOPHER J				
ART UNIT 3673		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 03/23/2009		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketadministrator@mwv.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/540,326	Applicant(s) MADDOX ET AL.	
	Examiner CHRISTOPHER BOSWELL	Art Unit 3673	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHRISTOPHER BOSWELL. (3) _____.

(2) Donald Bauer. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 05 March 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: The applicant demonstrated the apparatus by showing how the article is positioned via the magnets to release the security device from the article. Additionally, the applicant showed the size of the article is irrelevant as the geometry of the apparatus is open to receive various sizes of articles.

Claim(s) discussed: 15 and 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: 6,880,372 to Kim.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant discussed the limitations reciting the article extending beyond the apparatus in both the 3rd and 4th directions, where the 3rd and 4th directions are opposed from each other and perpendicular to the orthogonal angle formed by the 1st and 2nd directions. The examiner indicated that Kim does not show this feature, and the corresponding rejection will be withdrawn in the subsequent office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Christopher Boswell/
Examiner, Art Unit 3673